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## Outline

Organization issues

## Summary of previous class

Multigrid

## Organization

Scheduling:

- Homework assignment \#6 posted last week, due next Monday.
- How are your final projects coming along? Special office hours for final project this week: Wednesday $1-2 \mathrm{pm}$ and Thursday noon-1pm in office $\# 1111$. Come by!

Topics today:

- Partitioning and balancing: space filling curves
- Multigrid


## Outline

## Organization issues

Summary of previous class

## Multigrid

## Partitioning and Load Balancing

Thanks to Marsha Berger for letting me use many of her slides. Thanks to the Schloegel, Karypis and Kumar survey paper and the Zoltan website for many of these slides and pictures.

## Partitioning

- Decompose computation into tasks to equi-distribute the data and work, minimize processor idle time. applies to grid points, elements, matrix rows, particles, ...
- Map to processors to keep interprocessor communication low. communication to computation ratio comes from both the partitioning and the algorithm.



## Partitioning

Data decomposition + Owner computes rule:

- Data distributed among the processors
- Data distribution defines work assignment
- Owner performs all computations on its data.
- Data dependencies for data items owned by different processors incur communication



## Partitioning

- Static - all information available before computation starts use off-line algorithms to prepare before execution time; run as pre-processor, can be serial, can be slow and expensive, starts.
- Dynamic - information not known until runtime, work changes during computation (e.g. adaptive methods), or locality of objects change (e.g. particles move)
use on-line algorithms to make decisions mid-execution; must run side-by-side with application, should be parallel, fast, scalable. Incremental algorithm preferred (small changes in input result in small changes in partitions)
will look at some geometric methods, graph-based methods, spectral methods, multilevel methods, diffusion-based balancing,...


## Recursive Coordinate Bisection

Divide work into two equal parts using cutting plane orthogonal to coordinate axis For good aspect ratios cut in longest dimension.


Can generalize to k-way partitions. Finding optimal partitions is NP hard. (There are optimality results for a class of graphs as a graph partitioning problem.)

## Recursive Coordinate Bisection



+ Conceptually simple, easy to implement, fast.
+ Regular subdomains, easy to describe
- Need coordinates of mesh points/particles.
- No control of communication costs.
- Can generate disconnected subdomains


## Recursive Coordinate Bisection



Implicitly incremental - small changes in data result in small movement of cuts

## Recursive Inertial Bisection

For domains not oriented along coordinate axes can do better if account for the angle of orientation of the mesh.


Use bisection line orthogonal to principal inertial axis (treat mesh elements as point masses). Project centers-of-mass onto this axis; bisect this ordered list. Typically gives smaller subdomain boundary.

## Space-filling Curves

Linearly order a multidimensional mesh (nested hierarchically, preserves locality)


Morton ordering

## Space-filling Curves

Easily extends to adaptively refined meshes


| 3 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 17 |
| 2 | 8 |  | 9 |  | 19 | 18 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 21 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 26 |  | 25 | 22 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 23 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 28 |

## Space-filling Curves



Partition work into equal chunks.

## Space-filling Curves



+ Generalizes to uneven work loads - incorporate weights.
+ Dynamic on-the-fly partitioning for any number of nodes.
+ Good for cache performance


## Space-filling Curves



- Red region has more communication - not compact
- Need coordinates


## Space-filling Curves

Generalizes to other non-finite difference problems, e.g. particle methods, patch-based adaptive mesh refinement, smooth particle hydro.,


## Space-filling Curves

Implicitly incremental - small changes in data results in small movement of cuts in linear ordering


## Morton Ordering

## Computing Morton Indedx:

- convert coordinate to integers
- interleave the bits to generate a new integer
- works for arbitrary dimension (1D, 2D, 3D, 4D, ...)



## Application to N -body codes



## References

- H. Sundar, R.S. Sampath,
G. Biros - Bottom Up

Construction and 2:1 Balance Refinement of Linear Octrees in Parallel

- M.S.Warren and J.K.Salmon - A Parallel HashedOct-Tree N-Body Algorithm


## Application to N-body codes



## Parallel Tree Construction

- compute Morton Ids of particles;
- parallel sort and partition across processes
- construct local tree on each process
- adjust for overlapping tree nodes at process boundaries.


## Application to N -body codes



## Communication

- all processes store the starting Morton IDs of each partition
- to fetch a data element with given coordinates, we can determine the process ID to communicate with using a binary search in the list of starting Morton IDs ( $O(\log p) \operatorname{cost})$.


## Visualization

- Useful for interpreting results.
- Can also be helpful for debugging!


## Software

- Paraview
- Visit
- TecPlot


## Visualization ToolKit (VTK) File Format

## Reference: The VTK User's Guide

## Simple Text Format

\# vtk DataFile Version 2.0
Volume example
ASCII
DATASET STRUCTURED_POINTS
DIMENSIONS 346
ASPECT_RATIO 111
ORIGIN 000
POINT_DATA 72
SCALARS volume_scalars char 1
LOOKUP_TABLE default
000000000000000
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}0 & 5 & 10 & 15 & 20 & 25 & 25 & 20 & 15 & 10 & 5 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}0 & 10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}0 & 10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}0 & 5 & 10 & 15 & 20 & 25 & 25 & 20 & 15 & 10 & 5 & 0\end{array}$
000000000000000

## XML Format

<VTKFile type="UnstructuredGrid" ...>
<UnstructuredGrid>
<Piece NumberOfPoints="\#" NumberOfCells="\#">
<PointData>...</PointData>
<CellData>...</CellData>
<Points>...</Points>
<Cells>...</Cells>
</Piece>
</UnstructuredGrid>
</VTKFile>

## VTK Cell Types

VTK_VERTEX (=1)


VTK_POLY_LINE (=4)



VTK_POLYGON (=7)


VTK_PIXEL (=8)


VTK_QUAD (=9)


VTK_TETRA (=10)


VTK_VOXEL (=11)


VTK_HEXAHEDRON (=12)

Examples: https://github.com/NYU-HPC19/lecture13
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## How solve large linear systems?

Many linear solvers are available: factorization-based solvers (LU, Choleski), fast direct solvers (for specific problems), Krylov solvers (CG, MINRES, GMRES,...), optimal complexity $(\mathcal{O}(n))$ solvers for certain problems (multigrid, FMM) Solver choice depends on:

- is the system sparse or dense? how sparse?
- symmetric? positive definite? explicitly available?
- properties of the matrix? what do I know about the eigenvalues?
- do I have a good preconditioner?
- do I need the exact solution or can I allow for $\varepsilon$-errors?
- what computing resources do I have? can I store the matrix?
- how fast/often do I need to solve systems?


## Reading/Sources

## Why Multigrid Methods are so efficient:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { http://www.cs.technion.ac.il/people/irad/ } \\
\text { online-publications/Yav06.pdf }
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2D Poisson's equation

${ }^{\circ}$ Similar to the 1D case, but the matrix $T$ is now

${ }^{\circ} 3 \mathrm{D}$ is analogous

## Algorithms for 2D/3D Poisson Equation with n unknowns

| Algorithm | 2D ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ ) | 3D ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Dense LU | $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ |
| - Band LU | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{7 / 3}$ |
| - Explicit Inv. | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ |
| - Jacobi/GS | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ |
| - Sparse LU | $\mathrm{n}^{3 / 2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ |
| - Conj.Grad. | $n^{3 / 2}$ | $n^{3 / 2}$ |
| - RB SOR | $\mathrm{n}^{3 / 2}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{3 / 2}$ |
| - FFT | $n * \log n$ | $n * \log n$ |
| - Multigrid | n | n |
| - Lower bound | n | n |

Multigrid is much more general than FFT approach (many elliptic PDE)

## Different approaches

Jacobi: M = Diagonal(A)
Gauss Seidel: M = LowerTriangular(A)
SOR \& SSOR: Combination

```
Jacobi 
Gauss Siedel x = L-1}(B+Ux
SOR
    x = (D+wL)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{-1}(wb-[(w-1)D-wU)x
```

Iterations
Jacobi: O(1/h)
SOR: O(1/h-1/2)

## 1D problem

- Error $\|e\|_{\infty}$ plotted against iteration number:



## Eigenvectors



## Error /eigenvectors

- Error, $\|e\|_{\infty}$, in weighted Jacobi on $\mathrm{Au}=0$ for 100 iterations using initial guesses of $v_{1}, v_{3}$, and $v_{6}$



## Error for high frequencies

- Initial error: $v_{k j}=\sin \left(\frac{2 j \pi}{N}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sin \left(\frac{1}{-}\right.$

- Error after 35 iteration sweeps:



## Two-level scheme



Restrict


Solve $A^{2 h} e^{2 h}=r^{2 h}$

## Prolongation

- Values at points on the coarse grid map unchanged to the fine grid
- Values at fine-grid points NOT on the coarse grid are the averages of their coarse-grid neighbors



## Restriction



## V-cycle

$$
v^{h} \leftarrow M V^{h}\left(v^{h}, f^{h}\right)
$$

1) Relax $\alpha_{1}$ times on $A^{h} u^{h}=f^{h}$ initial $v^{h}$ arbitrary
2) If $\Omega^{h_{\text {is }}}$ the coarsest grid, go to 4)

Else:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{2 h} \leftarrow I_{2 h}^{h}\left(f^{h}-A^{h} v^{h}\right) \\
& v^{2 h} \leftarrow 0 \\
& v^{2 h} \leftarrow M V^{2 h}\left(v^{2 h}, f^{2 h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3) Correct $\quad v^{h} \leftarrow v^{h}+I_{2 h}^{h} v^{2 h}$
4) Relax $\alpha_{2}$ times on $A^{h} u^{h}=f_{\text {, }}$ initial guess $v^{h}$

## Multigrid

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\bigcirc u^{h} \leftarrow G^{v}\left(A^{h}, f^{h}\right) & u^{h} \leftarrow u^{h}+e^{h} \bigcirc \\
f^{2 h} \leftarrow I_{h}^{2 h}\left(f^{h}-A^{h} u^{h}\right) & e^{h} \leftarrow I_{2 h}^{h} u^{2 h} \\
u^{2 h} \leftarrow G^{v}\left(A^{2 h}, f^{2 h}\right) & u^{2 h} \leftarrow u^{2 h}+e^{2 h} \bigcirc \\
f^{4 h} \leftarrow I_{2 h}^{4 h}\left(f^{2 h}-A^{2 h} u^{2 h}\right) & e^{2 h} \leftarrow I_{4 h}^{2 h} u^{4 h} \\
u^{4 h} \leftarrow G^{v}\left(A^{4 h}, f^{4 h}\right) \bigcirc & f^{8 h} \leftarrow I^{8 h}\left(f^{4 h}-A^{4 h} u^{4 h}\right) \\
u^{8 h} \leftarrow G^{v}\left(A^{8 h}, f^{8 h}\right) \bigcirc e^{4 h} \\
e^{4 h} \leftarrow I_{8 h}^{4 h} u^{8 h} \\
e^{H}=\left(A^{H}\right)-1 f^{H}
\end{array}
$$

## Full multigrid (O(N))

- Restriction $\rightarrow$
- Interpolation $\rightarrow$

FINE GRID

- High-order Interpolation $\rightarrow$


COARSE GRID

## Parallelizing multigrid

Regular grids

- grid coarsening is trivial
- grid partitioning is trivial
- coloring can be constructed analytically
- smoother

Unstructured grids/graphs

- graph coarsening

Multigrid for generic matrices

## Algebraic multigrid

Define coarse grid in terms of strengths of connections
Use MIS to define nodes
No need to create new edges
Interpolation and restriction operations
based on the smooth error idea
Only requires matrix entries
Works for graph Laplacians

